## Ex Parte Notice

Ms. Marilyn Dortch, Secretary Federal Communications Commission 445 12<sup>th</sup> Street, S.W. Washington, DC 20554

Re: Implementation of Section 621(a)(1) of the Cable Communications Policy Act of 1984 as amended by the Cable Television Consumer Protection and Competition Act of 1992, MB Docket No. 05-311

Dear Ms. Dortch,

This notice is to record Hawaii Consumers' ex parte meeting with Bruce Gottlieb of Commissioner Copps' Office. Hawaii Consumers stated our concerns via voicemail on December 10, 2006. Our comments are summarized as follows:

Hawaii Consumers support the Alliance for Community Media in calling for competition without destruction of local, community controlled media.

- 1) The proposed rule eliminates any incentive for providers to negotiate in good faith. If the local franchising authority and the provider do not come to agreement within 90 days, the provider can proceed without an agreement. They can then profit using our public land without considering local community needs and interests. This framework would be unreasonable.
- 2) The proposed rule lacks a remedy for geographic discrimination. Public, Education and Government Access, or PEG, are tools to engage our local communities in democracy. Democratic participation should be for all, not based on a company's business plan. The public-right-of-way is owned by all the citizens in our community, not just those in an area lucky enough to be served. We believe that inevitable market imbalances must be anticipated by the FCC, as they were by Congress, and that any rule-making must provide these three elements:
  - A) A standard for identifying imbalances in service.
  - B) A party responsible for identifying the imbalance—logically, the local franchising authority.
  - C) A means for prevention or remedy of the imbalance.
- 3) The proposed rule reduces the support for PEG or other community media services from what is allowed by current Federal law. We believe this is an arbitrary reduction which will hurt our communities. It is in direct

contradiction to language authored by telephone companies and already passed in key states such as California and Texas. This reduction would eliminate a valued community resource with no demonstrated effect on either subscriber price or level of competition.

4) The changes being proposed are dramatic and destructive of the public interest. We believe that such changes to the law should be made by Congress, not the FCC. These changes will slow competition by confusing the legal framework. Such changes should be decided by law-makers, not the courts. The FCC should not usurp Congressional authority.

We look forward to working with the FCC to establish a process which supports both competition and community fairness. Please contact us if you have questions or comments.

Sincerely,

Sean McLaughlin Founder, Hawaii Consumers P.O. Box 179375 Honolulu, HI 96817

Tel: 808-283-3174

E-mail: sean808@earthlink.net

CC: U.S. Senator Daniel Inouye

U.S. Senator Daniel Akaka

U.S. Representative Neil Abercrombie

U.S. Representative Ed Case